Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Eastern Region Peterborough District Office 300 Water Street 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, South Tower Peterborough ON K9J 3C7 Phone: 705.755.4300 or 800.558.0595 Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs Région de l'Est Bureau du district de Peterborough *300, rue Water 2<sup>e</sup> étage, Tour Sud Peterborough (Ontario) K9J 3C7* Tél: 705 755-4300 558-0595230,



December 31, 2024

The Corporation of the City of Trent Hills 66 Front St, P.O. Box Delivery 1030, Campbellford, ON, K0L 1L0

Attention: Lynn Phillips, Chief Administrative Officer

#### RE: Campbellford Drinking Water System (220000834) Drinking Water Inspection Report 1-377597392 File: SI NO TH SA 540 (2024-2025)

Please find attached the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks inspection report for the above facility. The report details the findings of the inspection that began on December 3, 2024.

The Appendix section of the inspection includes the Stakeholder Appendix A with links to key reference and guidance materials available on the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) website.

In the inspection report, any *"Actions Required"* are linked to incidents of noncompliance with regulatory requirements contained within the Act, a regulation, or sitespecific approvals, licenses, permits, orders or instructions. Such violations could result in the issuance of mandatory abatement instruments including Orders, tickets, penalties, or referrals to the ministry's Investigations and Enforcement Branch.

*"Recommended Actions"* convey information that the owner or operating authority should consider implementing in order to advance efforts already in place to address such issues as emergency preparedness, the availability of information to consumers, and conformance with existing and emerging industrial standards. Please note that items which appear as recommended actions do not, in themselves, constitute violations.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 705-768-8593.

Yours truly,

nail to



Neil Hamilton Provincial Officer Badge # 1359 | Peterborough District | Drinking Water Environmental Compliance Division Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks 1.800.558.0595 / 705.768.8593 / email: neil.hamilton2@ontario.ca

Please consider the environment before printing

C:

Scott Campbell, Water Operations Senior Operator, Municipality of Trent Hills

Dr. Natalie Bocking, Medical Officer of Health, Haliburton Kawartha Pine Ridge HU

Rhonda Bateman, CAO/Treasurer, Lower Trent Conservation Authority

Brad Jackson, Water Supervisor, Ministry of Environment, Conservation & Parks, Peterborough

Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs





CAMPBELLFORD DRINKING WATER SYSTEM Physical Address: 58 SASKATOON AVE, , TRENT HILLS, ON KOL 1L0

### **INSPECTION REPORT**

Entity: THE MUNICIPALITY OF TRENT HILLS Inspection Start Date: December 02, 2024 Site Inspection Date: December 03, 2024 Inspected By: December 23, 2024 Inspected By: Neil Hamilton Badge #: 1359

nal H

(signature)

We want to hear from you. How was my service? You can provide feedback at 1-888-745-8888 or Ontario.ca/inspectionfeedback



Ministry of the Environment, Conservation & Parks Drinking Water Inspection Report

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1. Drinking Water System Owners Information
- 2. Drinking Water System Inspection Report

#### **Appendix:**

- A. Stakeholders Appendix
- **B.** Inspection Rating Record



#### INTRODUCTION

#### Purpose

This announced, focused inspection was conducted to confirm compliance with Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks' (MECP) legislation and conformance with ministry drinking water policies and guidelines.

#### Scope

The ministry utilizes a comprehensive, multi-barrier approach in the inspection of water systems that focuses on the source, treatment, and distribution components as well as management and the operation of the system.

The inspection of the drinking water system included both the physical inspection of the component parts of the system listed in section 4 "Systems Components" of the report and the review of data and documents associated with the operation of the drinking water system during the review period.

This drinking water system is subject to the legislative requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002 (SDWA) and regulations made therein, including Ontario Regulation 170/03, "Drinking Water Systems" (O. Reg. 170/03). This inspection has been conducted pursuant to Section 81 of the SDWA.

This inspection report does not suggest that all applicable legislation and regulations were evaluated. It remains the responsibility of the owner to ensure compliance with all applicable legislative and regulatory requirements.

#### **Facility Contacts and Dates**

The drinking water system is owned by The Corporation Of The Municipality Of Trent Hills and operated by The Corporation Of The Municipality Of Trent Hills.

The system serves an estimated population of 3,977 and is categorized as a Large Municipal Residential System.

Information reviewed for this inspection covered the time period of November 23, 2023 to December 3, 2024.

The water inspector met with Scott Campbell, ORO as part of the inspection process.

#### Systems/Components



All locations associated with primary disinfection were visited as part of this inspection. The following sites were visited as part of the inspection of the drinking water system:

Campbellford Water Treatment Plant

An outstation is a component of a drinking water system that is not located at either a water treatment plant or a well supply and is generally not associated with primary treatment, for example reservoirs, booster stations, and re-chlorination facilities located within the distribution system. Outstations may be visited on a rotational basis as part of a ministry inspection. This inspection included the inspection of:

Campbellford Standpipe and High Street Booster Station

#### **Permissions/Approvals**

This drinking water system was subject to specific conditions contained within the following permissions and/or approvals (please note this list is not exhaustive) at the time of the inspection in addition to the requirements of the SDWA and its regulations:

DWWP-150-202 Issue Number: 7 MDWL- 150-102 Issue Number: 5 PTTW - 6565-CEDQPM



#### NON-COMPLIANCE

This should not be construed as a confirmation of full compliance with all potential applicable legal requirements. These inspection findings are limited to the components and/or activities that were assessed, and the legislative framework(s) that were applied. It remains the responsibility of the owner to ensure compliance with all applicable legislative and regulatory requirements.

If you have any questions related to this inspection, please contact the signed Provincial Officer.

Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs



#### RECOMMENDATIONS

This should not be construed as a confirmation of full conformance with all potential applicable BMPs. These inspection findings are limited to the components and/or activities that were assessed, and the legislative framework(s) that were applied. It remains the responsibility of the owner to ensure compliance with all applicable legislative and regulatory requirements.

If you have any questions related to this inspection, please contact the signed Provincial Officer.

Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs



#### **INSPECTION DETAILS**

This section includes all questions that were assessed during the inspection.

#### Ministry Program: DRINKING WATER | Regulated Activity: DW Municipal Residential

Question ID	DWMR1012001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   31   (1);					
Question:					
Did the owner of the Municip	have a harmful algal bloom monito al Drinking Water Licence?	ring plan in place th	nat met the requirements		
Compliance F	Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)	Observation(s):			
The owner had	d a harmful algal bloom monitoring	plan in place which	met the requirements.		
Condition 6 of date a Harmfu when a potent in place on or	Schedule C of the MDWL requires I Algal Bloom monitoring, reporting ial harmful algal bloom is suspected before December 14, 2021.	that the owner dev and sampling plan d or present. The o	elops and keeps up to , and implement the plan wner must have the plan		
'Campbellford by operating a sample harmfu	Water Treatment Plant Harmful Alg uthority in May 2021. The plan deso Il algal blooms.	al Bloom Monitorin cribes how to ident	ng Plan' was developed ify, monitor, report and		
The plan is inc document ava	cluded in the 'Campbellford Water T ilable at the facility.	reatment Plant Op	erations Manual'		
Question ID	DWMR1014001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   31   (1);					
Question:					
Was flow monitoring performed as required by the Municipal Drinking Water Licence or Drinking Water Works Permit?					
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Flow monitoring was performed as required.					

Question ID	DWMR1016001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative R SDWA   31   (	equirement(s): 1);		



#### **Question:**

Was the owner in compliance with the conditions associated with maximum flow rate or the rated/operational capacity in the Municipal Drinking Water Licence?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

The owner was in compliance with the conditions associated with maximum flow rate and/or the rated/operational capacity conditions.

As per the MDWL Schedule C the Rated Capacity for the Campbellford WTP is 6,800 m3/day.

Throughout the inspection period treated daily flows ranged from a low of 1419 m3/day (December 2023) to a high of 3951 m3/day (June 2024) equating to 20.9% to 58.1% of plant capacity.

Question ID	DWMR1018001	Question Type	Legislative			
Legislative R SDWA   31   (*	Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   31   (1);					
<b>Question:</b> Did the owner Schedule C of	ensure that equipment was installe the Drinking Water Works Permit?	d in accordance wi	th Schedule A and			
Compliance F The owner ens	Response(s)/Corrective Action(s) sured that equipment was installed	<b>/Observation(s):</b> as required.				

Question ID	DWMR1020001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   31   (1);					
Question: Were Form 1	documents prepared as required?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Form 1 documents were prepared as required.					
A form 1 was	completed during the inspection per	iod to replace appl	oximately 340 m of		

existing 150 m diameter cast iron (1930) watermain on Ranney Street from Bridge Street East to Market Street with 200 mm diameter PVC watermain.

Question ID	DWMR1025001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative R SDWA   31   (1	equirement(s): 1);		



#### **Question:**

Were all parts of the drinking water system that came in contact with drinking water disinfected in accordance with a procedure listed in Schedule B of the Drinking Water Works Permit?

**Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):** All parts of the drinking water system were disinfected as required.

	Question ID	DWMR1023001	Question Type	Legislative
--	-------------	-------------	---------------	-------------

#### Legislative Requirement(s):

SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 1-2 | (2);

#### Question:

Did records indicate that the treatment equipment was operated in a manner that achieved the design capabilities prescribed by O. Reg. 170/03, Drinking Water Works Permit and/or Municipal Drinking Water Licence at all times that water was being supplied to consumers?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Records indicated that the treatment equipment was operated in a manner that achieved the design capabilities prescribed.

The Campbellford DWS obtains water from a surface water source (Trent River). The treatment system must be capable of achieving an overall performance that provides, at a minimum, 4-log removal or inactivation of viruses, 3-log removal or inactivation of Giardia cysts and 2-log removal or inactivation of Cryptosporidium oocysts.

The treatment system at the Campbellford DWS consists of chemically assisted filtration followed by disinfection using UV irradiation chlorination. The chemically assisted filtration is credited to provide 2-log Cryptosporidium oocysts, 2.5-log Giardia cysts and a 2-log viruses removal or inactivation. Chlorine disinfection is required to provide, at a minimum, 0.5-log removal or inactivation of Giardia cysts.

The primary disinfection free chlorine residual and log-inactivation achieved are continuously measured and recorded on the SCADA system.

The minimum chlorine residual required to achieve primary disinfection at 5 C using a single reservoir cell is 1.0 mg/L, according to the 'Campbellford DWS CT Calculations'.

At the time of the inspection, the minimum chlorine residual alarm set at the chlorine analyzer monitoring primary disinfection was 0.6 mg/L. The minimum chlorine alarm triggers an automatic system shutdown.

The minimum UV dose of 40 mJ/cm2 is required to claim 3-log Giardia cysts and 2-log Cryptosporidium oocysts inactivation. The low and low-low (minimum) UV dose alarms were set at 45 mJ/cm2 and 40 mJ/cm2, respectively. The minimum UV dose alarm triggers and



automatic system shutdown.

The monthly SCADA summaries and continuous readings of UV dose and reservoir discharge chlorine residuals were reviewed for the inspection period. To claim 2.5 log Giardia cysts removal and 2.0 log Cryptosporidium oocyst removal credit, the chemically assisted filtration process at the Campbellford DWS must meet the monthly performance criterion for filtered water turbidity of less or equal to 0.3 NTU in 95% of the measurements each month. The continuous filter effluent turbidity readings are recorded on the SCADA system.

The review of the monthly data summaries and continuous readings confirmed that filter effluent turbidities were maintained below 0.3 NTU in 100% of the time during the inspection period.

During the inspection review period, the Campbellford DWS provided the required minimum level of treatment through chemically assisted filtration, UV irradiation and chlorine disinfection.

Question ID	DWMR1026001	Question Type	Legislative		
<b>Legislative R</b> SDWA   O. Re	<b>equirement(s):</b> eg. 170/03   1-6   (2);				
Question: If primary disir equipment equipment	<b>Question:</b> If primary disinfection equipment did not use chlorination or chloramination, was the equipment equipped with alarms or shut-off mechanisms that satisfy the standards described in Schedule 1-6 of O. Reg. 170/03?				
<b>Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):</b> Primary disinfection equipment was equipped with alarms or shutoff mechanisms that satisfied the standards.					
The Campbell Sentinel UV re alarms set at 4 minimum alar	ford DWS uses UV irradiation for pr eactors (duty and standby) are equip 45 mJ/cm2 and 40 mJ/cm2 with a 30 m will trigger an operator response a	oviding primary dis oped with low and l 00-second delay, r and automatic swit	sinfection. Two (2) low-low (minimum) espectively. The chover to a standby UV		

unit.

Question ID	DWMR1024001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   1-2   (2);					
Question:					
Did records co chloraminatior	Did records confirm that the water treatment equipment which provides chlorination or chloramination for secondary disinfection was operated as required?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):					
Records confined conf	rmed that the water treatment equip n for secondary disinfection was ope	ment which providerated as required.	es chlorination or		

Event Number: 1-377597392



Question ID	DWMR1033001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative R SDWA   O. Re	Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   7-2   (3); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   7-2   (4);				
Question: Was secondary disinfectant residual tested as required for the large municipal residential distribution system?					
Compliance F Secondary dis	Response(s)/Corrective Action(s), infectant residual was tested as req	<b>/Observation(s):</b> juired.			

Question ID	DWMR1030001	Question Type	Legislative	
<b>Legislative R</b> SDWA   O. Re	<b>equirement(s):</b> eg. 170/03   7-2   (1); SDWA   O. Re	g. 170/03   7-2   (2	);	
Question: Was primary of Municipal Drin where the inte	Question: Was primary disinfection chlorine monitoring being conducted at a location approved by Municipal Drinking Water Licence and/or Drinking Water Works Permit or at/near a location where the intended CT had just been achieved?			
<b>Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):</b> Primary disinfection chlorine monitoring was conducted as required.				
The primary d HACH SC200	isinfection free chlorine residual is n Universal Controller.	neasured at the res	servoir effluent using a	

Question ID	DWMR1032001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   7-3   (2);					
<b>Question:</b> If the drinking filtration, was	Question: If the drinking water system obtained water from a surface water source and provided filtration, was continuous monitoring of each filter effluent line performed for turbidity?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Continuous monitoring of each filter effluent line was performed for turbidity.					

effluent turbidities are continuously measured and recorded on the SCADA system.



Question ID	DWMR1035001	Question Type	Legislative
<b>Legislative R</b> SDWA   O. Re	<b>equirement(s):</b> eg. 170/03   6-5   (1)1-4;		

#### Question:

Were operators examining continuous monitoring test results and did they examine the results within 72 hours of the test?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Operators were examining continuous monitoring test results as required.

Question ID	DWMR1038001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative R	equirement(s):		

SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 6-5 | (1)1-4;

#### Question:

Was continuous monitoring equipment that was being utilized to fulfill O. Reg. 170/03 requirements performing tests for the parameters with at least the minimum frequency and recording data with the prescribed format?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Continuous monitoring equipment that was being utilized to fulfill O. Reg. 170/03 requirements was performing tests for the parameters with at least the minimum frequency and recording data with the prescribed format.

As reported by the drinking water system operator, The data from online continuous analyzers is sampled every second and information is totalized in 5 min segments (min/max/ave) for chlorine analyzers and 15-minute segments (min/max/ave) for filter NTU.

Question ID	DWMR1037001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative Requirement(s):			

SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 6-5 | (1)5-10; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 6-5 | (1.1);

#### Question:

Were all continuous monitoring equipment utilized for sampling and testing required by O. Reg. 170/03, or Municipal Drinking Water Licence or Drinking Water Works Permit or order, equipped with alarms or shut-off mechanisms that satisfied the standards described in Schedule 6?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

All required continuous monitoring equipment utilized for sampling and testing were equipped with alarms or shut-off mechanisms that satisfied the standards

Alarm Set points are as follows:

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks

Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs



Low Lift Pump Shutdown Low lift pumps stop running and are locked out Coagulant pump fault/Coagulant flow sensor Low lift well level < 1.20 meters of depth

Filter Water Quality Shutdown (closes and locks filter effluent valve) Filter turbidity >0.3 NTU

High Lift Water Quality Shutdown (shuts off and locks out HLPs) Turbidity >0.9 NTU Low discharge Cl2 residual <0.8 mg/L FAC High discharge CL2 residual >3.5 mg/L FAC Low reservoir effluent CL2 residual < 0.8mg/L FAC High reservoir effluent CL2 residual >3.2 mg/L FAC Low LRV (virus) <10.00 log Low LRV (giardia) < 1.5 Log Low reservoir level < 1.80 meters

Ultra Violet Light (shuts off UV and filter effluent flow on LOLO) Low (LO) UV dose <45 mJ/cm2 Low (LOLO) UV dose <40 MJ/cm2

Filter Effluent Chlorine residual High > 3.2 mg/L FAC Low < 0.80 mg/L FAC

Reservoir Effluent Chlorine residual High > 3.2 mg/L FAC Low <0.6 mg/L FAC

High Lift Discharge CL2 residual High > 3.5 mg/L FAC Low <0.8 mg/L FAC

Standpipe CL2 residual High >3.0 mg/L FAC Low <0.8 mg/L FAC

Question ID	DWMR1040001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   6-5   (1)1-4; SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   6-5   (1)5-10;				
Question: Were all continuous analysers calibrated, maintained, and operated, in accordance with the				

manufacturer's instructions or the regulation?



#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

All continuous analysers were calibrated, maintained, and operated as required.

All on-line turbidity and chlorine residual analyzers were calibrated by operation staff in accordance with manufacturers recommendations on a quarterly basis and documented in work order forms.

Question ID	DWMR1108001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   6-5   (1)5-10; SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   6-5   (1.1);			

#### Question:

Where continuous monitoring equipment used for the monitoring of free chlorine residual, total chlorine residual, combined chlorine residual or turbidity, required by O. Reg. 170/03, Municipal Drinking Water Licence, Drinking Water Works Permit, or order triggered an alarm or an automatic shut-off, did a qualified person respond as required and take appropriate actions?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

A qualified person responded as required and took appropriate actions.

Question ID	DWMR1039001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   1-6   (3);				
<b>Question:</b> If primary disinfection equipment that does not use chlorination or chloramination was used, did the owner and operating authority ensure the equipment had a recording device that continuously recorded the performance of the disinfection equipment?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): The owner and operating authority ensured that the primary disinfection equipment had a recording device that continuously recorded the performance of the disinfection equipment.				

Question ID	DWMR1109001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   1-6   (1); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   1-6   (2);				
Question: If the system u chloramination appropriate lev operator respo	used equipment for primary disinfect and the equipment malfunctioned, vel of disinfection, causing an alarm and as required and take appropriate	tion other than chlo lost power, or ceas or an automatic sh e actions?	prination or sed to provide the nut-off, did a certified	



#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

A certified operator responded as required and took appropriate actions.

Question ID DWMR1042001

Question Type | Legislative

Legislative Requirement(s):

SDWA | 31 | (1);

#### **Question:**

If UV disinfection was used, were duty sensors and reference UV sensors checked and calibrated as per the requirements of Schedule E of the Municipal Drinking Water Licence or at a frequency as otherwise recommended by the UV equipment manufacturer?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

All UV sensors were checked and calibrated as required.

UV sensors are calibrated by operation staff on a monthly basis. The equipment used for monthly calibration is tested annually by an external service provider.

Question ID	DWMR1099001	Question Type	Information
Legislative Requirement(s):			

Not Applicable

#### Question:

Do records show that water provided by the drinking water system met the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Records showed that not all water sample results met the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards.

See question ID DWMR1100001

Question ID	DWMR1083001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   10-3;					
<b>Question:</b> Were treated microbiological sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 10-3 of O. Reg. 170/03 for large municipal residential systems met?					
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Treated microbiological sampling requirements were met.					
As per schedule 10-3 of O.Reg 170/03 treated samples were collected weekly throughout the inspection period and tested for Escherichia coli (EC); total coliforms (TC); and general					



bacteria population expressed as colony counts on a heterotrophic plate count (HPC).

Question ID	DWMR1081001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   10-2   (1); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   10-2   (2); SDWA   O. Reg.				
170/03   10-2	(3);			
Were distribut Reg. 170/03 fc	Question: Were distribution microbiological sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 10-2 of O. Reg. 170/03 for large municipal residential systems met?			
Compliance F	<pre>Response(s)/Corrective Action(s);</pre>	Observation(s):		
Distribution microbiological sampling requirements were met.				
As per schedule 10-2 (1) of O.Reg 170/03 at least eight distribution samples, plus one additional distribution sample for every 1,000 people served by the system were taken every month, with at least one of the samples being taken in each week; and tested for EC and TC with at least 25 per cent of the samples tested for general bacteria population expressed as colony counts on a heterotrophic plate count.				

Question ID	DWMR1096001	Question Type	Legislative

Legislative Requirement(s):

SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 6-3 | (1);

#### **Question:**

Did records confirm that chlorine residual tests were conducted at the same time and location as microbiological samples?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Records confirmed that chlorine residual tests were conducted as required.

Question ID	DWMR1084001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-2;				
Question: Were inorganic parameter sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 13-2 of O. Reg. 170/03 met?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Inorganic parameter sampling requirements were met.				
As per schedule 13-2 of O. Reg 170/03 The owner of a large municipal residential system and the operating authority for the system shall ensure that, at least one water sample is taken every 12 months, if the system obtains water from a raw water supply that is surface				



water; and is tested for every parameter set out in Schedule 23.

A review of data throughout the inspection period demonstrated that Inorganic sampling was conducted on January 4, 2024.

Question ID	DWMR1085001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-4   (1); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-4   (2); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-4   (3);				
Question: Were organic parameter sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 13-4 of O. Reg. 170/03 met?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Organic parameter sampling requirements were met.				
As per schedule 13-4 of O. Reg 170/03 The owner of a large municipal residential system				

and the operating authority for the system shall ensure that, at least one water sample is taken every 12 months, if the system obtains water from a raw water supply that is surface water; and is tested for every parameter set out in Schedule 24.

A review of data throughout the inspection period demonstrated that Organic sampling was conducted on January 4, 2024.

Question ID	DWMR1086001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s):				
SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6.1   (1); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6.1   (2); SDWA   O. Reg.				
170/03   13-6.1   (3); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6.1   (4); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6.1				
(5); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6.1   (6);				
Question:				

Were haloacetic acid sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 13-6 of O. Reg. 170/03 met?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Haloacetic acid sampling requirements were met.

As per schedule 13-6.1 of O.Reg 170/03 The owner of a drinking water system that provides chlorination or chloramination and the operating authority for the system shall ensure that at least one distribution sample is taken in each calendar guarter, from a point in the drinking water system's distribution system, or plumbing that is connected to the drinking water system, that is likely to have an elevated potential for the formation of haloacetic acids.

Throughout the inspection period samples were collected on February 13, 2024, May 13, 2024, August 19, 2024 and November 18 2024.

Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs



Results were respectively 30.7 ug/L, 38.5 ug/L, 46.3 ug/L and 23.3 ug/L.

The current running annual average for HAA's is 34.7 ug/L.

Question ID	DWMR1087001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6   (1); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6   (2); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6   (3); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6   (4); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6   (5); SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-6   (6);				
Question:				
Were trihalom met?	ethane sampling requirements pres	cribed by Schedule	e 13-6 of O. Reg. 170/03	
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Trihalomethane sampling requirements were met.				
As per schedule 13-6 The owner of a drinking water system that provides chlorination or chloramination and the operating authority for the system shall ensure that at least one distribution sample is taken in each calendar quarter, from a point in the drinking water system's distribution system, or plumbing that is connected to the drinking water system, that is likely to have an elevated potential for the formation of trihalomethanes.				
Throughout the inspection period samples were collected on February 13, 2024, May 13, 2024, June 17, 2024, June 19, 2024, June 24, 2024, August 19, 2024 and November 18, 2024.				
Results were u ug/L,	respectively 65 ug/L, 114 ug/L, 96 u	g/L, 89 ug/L, 117 u	ıg/L, 104 ug/L and 101	
The current ru	nning annual average for THM's is	93.5 ug/L.		

Question ID	DWMR1088001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-7;			
Question: Were nitrate/nitrite sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 13-7 of O. Reg. 170/03 met?			
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Nitrate/nitrite sampling requirements were met.			
As per schedu operating auth three months a	le 13-7 of O.Reg 170/03 The owner ority for the system shall ensure that and tested for nitrate and nitrite.	r of a drinking wate at at least one wate	r system and the er sample is taken every

**Question Type** 

Legislative



Throughout the inspection period sampling was conducted on February 13, 2024, May 13, 2024, August 13, 2024 and November 18, 2024.

Results for Nitrate ranged from 0.050 mg/L - 0.199 mg/L and results for Nitrite remained steady at 0.003 mg/L.

#### Question ID DWMR1089001

#### Legislative Requirement(s):

SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 13-8;

#### Question:

Were sodium sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 13-8 of O. Reg. 170/03 met?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Sodium sampling requirements were met.

As per schedule 13-8 The owner of a drinking water system and the operating authority for the system shall ensure that at least one water sample is taken every 60 months and tested for sodium.

Sodium was last sampled on January 4, 2024 with a sample result of 9.11 mg/L.

Question ID	DWMR1090001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   13-9;				
Question: Where fluoridation is not practiced, were fluoride sampling requirements prescribed by Schedule 13-9 of O. Reg. 170/03 met?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Fluoride sampling requirements were met.				
As per schedule 13-9 of O.Reg 170/03 If a drinking water system does not provide fluoridation, the owner of the system and the operating authority for the system shall ensure that a water sample is taken at least once every 60 months and tested for fluoride.				
Sampling for fl	Sampling for fluoride was last sampled on January 4, 2024 with a sample result of 0.06 mg/L.			

Question ID	DWMR1094001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   31   (1);			
Question:			
Were water quality sampling requirements imposed by the Municipal Drinking Water Licence			

and Drinking Water Works Permit met?



#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Water quality sampling requirements were met.

Section 5.2 of the Schedule C of the current Municipal Drinking Water License requires collection of a monthly manual composite sample for total suspended solids (TSS) analysis and a monthly grab sample for total chlorine residual analysis in the wastewater supernatant discharged to Trent River.

The MDWL sets the annual running average limits for TSS at 25 mg/L, and a limit of 0.02 mg/L for total chlorine residual.

The document review confirmed that wastewater samples were collected monthly and analyzed for total suspended solids. The average of TSS of samples collected since the last compliance inspection was 4 mg/L.

A grab sample of wastewater supernatant was collected each month and tested for total chlorine residual. The test results were documented in the facility logbook. The data review for the inspection period confirmed that the running annual average of the last 12 months was 0.01 mg/L.

Question ID	DWMR1104001	Question Type	Legislative
-------------	-------------	---------------	-------------

#### Legislative Requirement(s):

SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (1); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (2); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (3); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (3.1); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (3.2); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (4); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (5); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 16-6 | (6);

#### Question:

Were immediate verbal notification requirements for adverse water quality incidents met?

#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Immediate verbal notification requirements for adverse water quality incidents were met.

Question IDDWMR1101001Question TypeLegislative

#### Legislative Requirement(s):

SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-1; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-10 | (1); SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-11; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-12; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-13; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-14; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-2; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-3; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-4; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-5; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-6; SDWA | O. Reg. 170/03 | 17-9;

#### Question:

For large municipal residential systems, were corrective actions, including any steps directed by the Medical Officer of Health, taken to address adverse conditions?



#### Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

Corrective actions were taken to address adverse conditions.

For each AWQI incident 165632 and 164532 the distribution system was flushed at the adverse and two sets of microbiological samples were taken.

Question ID	DWMR1113001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   10.1   (3);				
<b>Question:</b> Were changes to the system registration information provided to the ministry within ten (10) days of the change?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Changes to the system registration information were provided as required.				

Question ID	DWMR1114001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   31   (1);				
Question: Did the owner have evidence that, when required, all legal owners associated with the drinking water system were notified of the requirements of the Municipal Drinking Water Licence and Drinking Water Works Permit?				
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): The owner had evidence that the required notifications were made.				
The operating (MDWL/DWW	The operating authority advised that all developers are notified of their requirements $(MDWL/DWWP)$ in a package provided by the planning department			

(individually in a package provided by the planning department.				
Question ID	DWMR1060001	Question Type	Legislative	
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   31   (1);				
Question: Did the operations and maintenance manual(s) meet the requirements of the Municipal Drinking Water Licence?				
<b>Compliance F</b> The operation Water Licence	Drinking Water Licence? <b>Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):</b> The operations and maintenance manual(s) met the requirements of the Municipal Drinking Water Licence.			



Question ID DWMR1062001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   7-5;				
Question: Did records or other record keeping mechanisms confirm that operational testing not performed by continuous monitoring equipment was done by a certified operator, water quality analyst, or person who met the requirements of Schedule 7-5 of O. Reg. 170/03?				
<b>Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):</b> Records or other record keeping mechanisms confirmed that operational testing not performed by continuous monitoring equipment was done by a certified operator, water quality analyst, or person who met the requirements of Schedule 7-5 of O. Reg. 170/03.				
Distribution system chlorine residuals measured by a hand-held instrument during bacteriological sampling were documented in chain of custody forms along with operator's initials.				
Grab sample verification tests of the on-line monitoring equipment were conducted by the system operators and recorded in the logbook.				
All operators working at the Campbellford DWS a operational tests.	re appropriately ce	ertified to conduct		
		Ι		

Question ID	DWMR1071001	Question Type	BMP	
Legislative Requirement(s): Not Applicable				
Question: Did the owner system?	Question: Did the owner provide security measures to protect components of the drinking water system?			
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): The owner provided security measures to protect components of the drinking water system.				
Security at the motion alarms	Security at the Campbellford DWS consists of window and door intrusion alarms as well as motion alarms within the building. Alarms are monitored through "Trent Security".			

At the water tower/ booster station security consists of a chain link fence topped with barbed wire surrounded the two buildings as well as locked access doors to the structures. There is no alarm system in place as there are no phone lines in place.

Question ID	DWMR1073001	Question Type	Legislative
Legislative Requirement(s):			
SDWA   O. Re	eg. 128/04   23   (1);		



#### **Question:**

Was an overall responsible operator designated for all subsystems which comprise the drinking water system?

Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s):

An overall responsible operator was designated for all subsystem.

Scott Campbell is identified as the ORO for the Campbellford DWS. Scott holds a Class 3 Water Treatment Certificate - #10386 which expires 09/30/2026 and a Class 2 Water Distribution and Supply Certificate - #6374 which expires 03/31/2026.

The back up ORO is reported as being Scott White who holds a Class 3 Water Treatment Certificate - #2224 which expires 11/30/2025 and a Class 2 Water Distribution and Supply Certificate - #10705 which expires 04/30/2026.

Question ID	DWMR1074001	Question Type	Legislative			
Legislative R SDWA   O. Re	Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 128/04   25   (1);					
<b>Question:</b> Were operator system?	Question: Were operators-in-charge designated for all subsystems which comprise the drinking water system?					
Compliance F Operators-in-c	<pre> <b>Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)</b> tharge were designated for all subsy </pre>	/Observation(s): /stems.				
Operators in Charge (OIC) for the Campbellford DWS were identified as:						
Paul Kelly Todd Kerr Rachel Parr Jody Trotman Gerry Brownson						
All operators h	old a valid up to date license.					

Question ID	DWMR1075001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 128/04   22;					
Question: Were all operators certified as required?					
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): All operators were certified as required.					



Question ID	DWMR1076001	Question Type	Legislative		
Legislative Requirement(s): SDWA   O. Reg. 170/03   1-2   (2);					
Question: Were adjustments to the treatment equipment only made by certified operators?					
Compliance Response(s)/Corrective Action(s)/Observation(s): Adjustments to the treatment equipment were only made by certified operators.					



# APPENDIX A STAKEHOLDER APPENDIX

# Key Reference and Guidance Material for Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems

Many useful materials are available to help you operate your drinking water system. Below is a list of key materials owners and operators of municipal residential drinking water systems frequently use.

To access these materials online click on their titles in the table below or use your web browser to search for their titles. Contact the Ministry if you need assistance or have questions at 1-866-793-2588 or waterforms@ontario.ca.

For more information on Ontario's drinking water visit www.ontario.ca/drinkingwater



PUBLICATION TITLE	PUBLICATION NUMBER
FORMS: Drinking Water System Profile Information Laboratory Services Notification Adverse Test Result Notification	012-2149E 012-2148E 012-4444E
Taking Care of Your Drinking Water: A Guide for Members of Municipal Councils	Website
Procedure for Disinfection of Drinking Water in Ontario	Website
Strategies for Minimizing the Disinfection Products Trihalomethanes and Haloacetic Acids	Website
Filtration Processes Technical Bulletin	Website
Ultraviolet Disinfection Technical Bulletin	Website
Guide for Applying for Drinking Water Works Permit Amendments, & License Amendments	Website
Certification Guide for Operators and Water Quality Analysts	Website
Guide to Drinking Water Operator Training Requirements	9802E
Community Sampling and Testing for Lead: Standard and Reduced Sampling and Eligibility for Exemption	Website
Drinking Water System Contact List	7128E01
Ontario's Drinking Water Quality Management Standard - Pocket Guide	Website
Watermain Disinfection Procedure	Website
List of Licensed Laboratories	Website



# Principaux guides et documents de référence sur les réseaux résidentiels municipaux d'eau potable

De nombreux documents utiles peuvent vous aider à exploiter votre réseau d'eau potable. Vous trouverez ci-après une liste de documents que les propriétaires et exploitants de réseaux résidentiels municipaux d'eau potable utilisent fréquemment. Pour accéder à ces documents en ligne, cliquez sur leur titre dans le tableau cidessous ou faites une recherche à l'aide de votre navigateur Web. Communiquez avec le ministère au 1-866-793-2588, ou encore à waterforms@ontario.ca si vous avez des

questions ou besoin d'aide.



Pour plus de renseignements sur l'eau potable en Ontario, consultez le site www.ontario.ca/eaupotable

TITRE DE LAPUBLICATION	NUMÉRO DE PUBLICATION
Renseignements sur le profil du réseau d'eau potable	012-2149F
Avis de demande de services de laboratoire	012-2148F
Avis de résultats d'analyse insatisfaisants et de règlement des problèmes	012-4444F
Prendre soin de votre eau potable - Un guide destiné aux membres des conseils municipaux	Site Web
Marche à suivre pour désinfecter l'eau portable en Ontario	Site Web
Stratégies pour minimiser les trihalométhanes et les acides haloacétiques de sous-produits de désinfection	Site Web
Filtration Processes Technical Bulletin (en anglais seulement)	Site Web
Ultraviolet Disinfection Technical Bulletin (en anglais seulement)	Site Web
Guide de présentation d'une demande de modification du permis d'aménagement de station de production d'eau potable	Site Web
Guide sur l'accréditation des exploitants de réseaux d'eau potable et des analystes de la qualité de l'eau de réseaux d'eau potable	Site Web
Guide sur les exigences relatives à la formation des exploitants de réseaux d'eau potable	9802F
Échantillonnage et analyse du plomb dans les collectivités : échantillonnage normalisé ou réduit et admissibilité à l'exemption	Site Web
Liste des personnes-ressources du réseau d'eau potable	Site Web
L'eau potable en Ontario - Norme de gestion de la qualité - Guide de poche	Site Web
Procédure de désinfection des conduites principales	Site Web
Laboratoires autorisés	Site Web





### **APPENDIX B**

### **INSPECTION RATING RECORD**

DWS Name: DWS Number: DWS Owner:	CAMPBELLFORD DRINKING WATER SYSTEM 220000834
Municipal Location:	TRENT HILLS
Regulation:	O.REG. 170/03
DWS Category:	DW Municipal Residential
Type of Inspection:	Focused
Compliance Assessment Start Date:	Dec-2-2024
Ministry Office:	Peterborough District Office

#### Maximum Risk Rating: 560

Inspection Module	Non Compliance Risk (X out of Y)
Capacity Assessment	0/30
Certification and Training	0/42
Logbooks	0/14
Operations Manuals	0/14
Reporting & Corrective Actions	0/95
Source	0/0
Treatment Processes	0/253
Water Quality Monitoring	0/112
Overall - Calculated	0/560

Inspection Risk Rating: 0.00%

Final Inspection Rating: 100.00%

DWS Name:	CAMPBELLFORD DRINKING WATER SYSTEM
DWS Number:	220000834
DWS Owner Name:	THE MUNICIPALITY OF TRENT HILLS
Municipal Location:	TRENT HILLS
Regulation:	O.REG. 170/03
DWS Category:	DW Municipal Residential
Type of Inspection:	Focused
Compliance Assessment Start Date:	Dec-2-2024
Ministry Office:	Peterborough District Office

All legislative requirements were met. No detailed rating scores.

Maximum Question Rating: 560

Inspection Risk Rating: 0.00%

FINAL INSPECTION RATING: 100.00%

## APPLICATION OF THE **RISK METHODOLOGY** USED FOR MEASURING MUNICIPAL RESIDENTIAL DRINKING WATER SYSTEM INSPECTION RESULTS



The Ministry of the Environment (MOE) has a rigorous and comprehensive inspection program for municipal residential drinking water systems (MRDWS). Its objective is to determine the compliance of MRDWS with requirements under the Safe Drinking Water Act and associated regulations. It is the responsibility of the municipal residential drinking water system owner to ensure their drinking water systems are in compliance with all applicable legal requirements.

This document describes the risk rating methodology, which has been applied to the findings of the Ministry's MRDWS inspection results since fiscal year 2008-09. The primary goals of this assessment are to encourage ongoing improvement of these systems and to establish a way to measure this progress.

MOE reviews the risk rating methodology every three years.

The Ministry's Municipal Residential Drinking Water Inspection Protocol contains up to 14 inspection modules and consists of approximately 120 regulatory questions. Those protocol questions are also linked to definitive guidance that ministry inspectors use when conducting MRDWS inspections. The questions address a wide range of regulatory issues, from administrative procedures

Ontario

ontario.ca/drinkingwater

to drinking water quality monitoring. Additionally, the inspection protocol contains a number of nonregulatory questions.

A team of drinking water specialists in the ministry have assessed each of the inspection protocol regulatory questions to determine the risk (not complying with the regulation) to the delivery of safe drinking water. This assessment was based on established provincial risk assessment principles, with each question receiving a risk rating referred to as the Question Risk Rating. Based on the number of areas where a system is deemed to be non-compliant during the inspection, and the significance of these areas to administrative, environmental, and health consequences, a risk-based inspection rating is calculated by the ministry for each drinking water system.

It is important to be aware that an inspection rating that is less than 100 per cent does not mean that the drinking water from the system is unsafe. It shows areas where a system's operation can improve. To that end, the ministry works with owners and operators of systems to make sure they know what they need to do to achieve full compliance.

The inspection rating reflects the inspection results of the specific drinking water system for the reporting year. Since the methodology is applied consistently over a period of years, it serves as a comparative measure both provincially and in relation to the individual system. Both the drinking water system and the public are able to track the performance over time, which encourages continuous improvement and allows systems to identify specific areas requiring attention.

The ministry's annual inspection program is an important aspect of our drinking water safety net. The ministry and its partners share a common commitment to excellence and we continue to work toward the goal of 100 per cent regulatory compliance.

# Determining Potential to Compromise the Delivery of Safe Water

The risk management approach used for MRDWS is aligned with the Government of Ontario's Risk Management Framework. Risk management is a systematic approach to identifying potential hazards; understanding the likelihood and consequences of the hazards; and taking steps to reduce their risk if necessary and as appropriate.

The Risk Management Framework provides a formula to be used in the determination of risk:

# RISK = LIKELIHOOD × CONSEQUENCE

Every regulatory question in the inspection protocol possesses a likelihood value (L) for an assigned consequence value (C) as described in **Table 1** and **Table 2**.

TABLE 1:	
Likelihood of Consequence Occurring	Likelihood Value
0% - 0.99% (Possible but Highly Unlikely)	L = 0
1 – 10% (Unlikely)	L = 1
11 – 49% (Possible)	L = 2
50 – 89% (Likely)	L = 3
90 – 100% (Almost Certain)	L = 4

TABLE 2:			
Consequence	Consequence Value		
Medium Administrative Consequence	C = 1		
Major Administrative Consequence	C = 2		
Minor Environmental Consequence	C = 3		
Minor Health Consequence	C = 4		
Medium Environmental Consequence	C = 5		
Major Environmental Consequence	C = 6		
Medium Health Consequence	C = 7		
Major Health Consequence	C = 8		

The consequence values (0 through 8) are selected to align with other risk-based programs and projects currently under development or in use within the ministry as outlined in **Table 2**.

The Question Risk Rating for each regulatory inspection question is derived from an evaluation of every identified consequence and its corresponding likelihood of occurrence:

• All levels of consequence are evaluated for their potential to occur

The Question Risk Rating quantifies the risk of non-compliance of each question relative to the others. Questions with higher values are those with a potentially more significant impact on drinking water safety and a higher likelihood of occurrence. The highest possible value would be  $32 (4 \times 8)$  and the lowest would be  $0 (0 \times 1)$ .

**Table 3** presents a sample question showing the risk rating determination process.

•	Greatest of all t	the combinations	is selected.

TABLE 3:							
Does the Operator in Charge ensure that the equipment and processes are monitored, inspected and evaluated?							
		I	Risk = Likelihoo	d × Consequence	9		
C=1	C=2	C=3	C=4	C=5	C=6	C=7	C=8
<b>Medium</b> Administrative Consequence	<b>Major</b> Administrative Consequence	<b>Minor</b> Environmental Consequence	<b>Minor</b> Health Consequence	<b>Medium</b> Environmental Consequence	<b>Major</b> Environmental Consequence	<b>Medium</b> Health Consequence	<b>Major</b> Health Consequence
L=4 (Almost Certain)	L=1 (Unlikely	L=2 (Possible)	L=3 (Likely)	L=3 (Likely)	L=1 (Unlikely	L=3 (Likely)	L=2 (Possible)
R=4	R=2	R=6	R=12	R=15	R=6	R=21	R=16

#### **Application of the Methodology to Inspection Results**

Based on the results of a MRDWS inspection, an overall inspection risk rating is calculated. During an inspection, inspectors answer the questions that relate to regulatory compliance and input their responses as "yes", "no" or "not applicable" into the Ministry's Laboratory and Waterworks Inspection System (LWIS) database. A "no" response indicates non-compliance. The maximum number of regulatory questions asked by an inspector varies by: system (i.e., distribution, stand-alone), type of inspection (i.e., focused, detailed), and source type (i.e., groundwater, surface water). The risk ratings of all non-compliant answers are summed and divided by the sum of the risk ratings of all questions asked (maximum question rating). The resulting inspection risk rating (as a percentage) is subtracted from 100 per cent to arrive at the final inspection rating.

### **Application of the Methodology for Public Reporting**

The individual MRDWS Total Inspection Ratings are published with the ministry's Chief Drinking Water Inspector's Annual Report.

**Figure 1** presents the distribution of MRDWS ratings for a sample of annual inspections. Individual drinking water systems can compare against all the other inspected facilities over a period of inspection years.



#### Figure 1: Year Over Year Distribution of MRDWS Ratings

#### **Reporting Results to MRDWS Owners/Operators**

A summary of inspection findings for each system is generated in the form of an Inspection Rating Record (IRR). The findings are grouped into the 14 possible modules of the inspection protocol,

- 1. Source
- 2. Permit to Take Water
- Capacity Assessment
   Treatment Processes
- 7. Operations Manuals

5. Process Wastewater

6. Distribution System

8. Logbooks

which would provide the system owner/operator with information on the areas where they need to improve. The 14 modules are:

- 9. Contingency and
- Emergency Planning 10. Consumer Relations
- 11. Certification and Training
- 12. Water Quality Monitoring
- 13. Reporting, Notification and Corrective Actions
- 14. Other Inspection Findings
- For further information, please visit www.ontario.ca/drinkingwater